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Outline of this Talk

My thanks to NZIDE for inviting us to offer this presentation. I hope it is helpful.

Broad topics:

Brief background
1. Vision Zero-Safe System and Speed
2. The role of speed in crash occurrence and severity
3. The misunderstanding of the value of higher speeds
4. Alternatives to managing speed

For each broad topic- we will:
- Provide core evidence
- Consider New Zealand in particular (though speed effects are world wide- the laws of physics)
- Consider relevance for driver education (great term in place of training)
Brief Background

Current Road Safety Circumstances.....

1. Road Safety includes a LARGE volume of scientific research
   - evidence on what works and what does not
2. A lot is known, unfortunately, by a very small number of people
3. So, we know what to do, but often we are not doing it

I also understand that for some of this, I will be preaching to the converted:
Please forgive this......
Broad Topic 1: Vision Zero-Safe System and Speed
Trading off death and injury for speed (& imaginary) economic gains

• Road safety is one of the last vestige failures of civilization
• IMAGINE if the **law courts** run by Government worked like roads:
  • Soames you were speeding- take xxx out and break her leg in six places then make her wait 1 hour for help; take that guy there (innocent bystander) and kill him –Slowly. Now we react to this by punishing Soames. This is exactly what our roads **allow to happen**- and **it is within our power to stop this**
  • What about we make it worse: Trade off expensive court time spent on murder cases- limit court time per case & only get an extra 15 life imprisonments of innocent people per year. The economy will be better.

• No one would accept this, but we have been fooled into accepting it from our road transport system (through Victim Blaming)

• Road crash deaths and injuries are THE ONLY ARENA run by government in which such a trade-off is considered sane to even contemplate
New Zealand’s National Road Safety Strategy is based on Vision Zero & Safe System

New Zealand has a very good Vision Zero-Safe System strategy—much better than the weak approach to Vision Zero-Safe System in Australia

**Vision Zero-Safe System** recognizes that speeds, vehicles, and road infrastructure must interact in a way that ensures safety.

1. Accepts that human will always make mistakes & accommodates errors
2. Incorporates speeds, roads, and vehicles that limit crash forces to levels that are survivable for the human body
3. Motivates those who design and maintain the roads, manufacture vehicles, and administer safety programmes to accept and address shared responsibility for safety

We can’t just deliver Vision Zero-Safe System overnight in the New Zealand (or indeed anywhere), yet it guides what we should do with road safety investments, and we can get there in the long term.

Speed is fundamental in this, as the most powerful factor in road safety
SPEED is critical, especially for pedestrians

Graph: Speed of impact and probability of major Injury

(Jurewicz et al., 2016)
Relevance for Driver Education

• Aim to have drivers understand Safe System and call for/support Safe System delivery from Government in New Zealand

• Aim to reduce victim blaming as the fundamental response to news of serious crashes (more later on this)
Broad Topic 2: The role of speed in crash occurrence AND severity
The Power of managing speed

• Small changes in speed have large impacts on road crash deaths and injuries:
  • Each 1% decrease in speed results in a ~ 4% decrease in deaths & a 3% decrease in serious injuries

(Source: Nilsson, 2004 & many other studies since)
Commonsense Misjudgment of differences in speed

ERROR:
10kmh difference at the start = 10kmh difference at the end

EVIDENCE
10kmh difference at the start (100kmh versus 110kmh)
Considering judgement time
reaction time
braking deceleration
= ? kmh difference at the end
Reality of Physics:
Small difference at start = **LARGE** difference at end

100 km/h

- **Judgement Time**
- **Reaction Time**
- **Stopping Distance**

Just stops in time

110km/h

- **Judgement Time**
- **Reaction Time**
- **Stopping Distance**

Hits at 59km/h
The effect of a small travelling speed change into an injury outcome

A small change in travel speed

↓

A relatively large change in stopping distance

↓

A much larger change in impact speed

↓

A still larger change in impact energy

↓

A very large change in probability of death and serious injury
SPEED is critical for pedestrians: Speed of impact and probability of Major Injury

(Jurewicz et al., 2016)
The REAL contribution of Speeding to fatal crashes in New Zealand

• New Zealand Police agree that the crash data greatly under-estimate speeding in serious crashes
  • Consider a crash to see why.....
• Correcting with an evidence-based estimate of omissions:

  speeding is involved in around 60% of fatal crashes in New Zealand

Source: Job & Brodie (2022)
Benefit:Cost Ratios: the Huge Value of managing speed

Source: World Bank (Many original sources collated here)
Practical evidence: lower speed limits work

Changing speed limits:

NHTSA (1989): 89km/h up to 105km/h 21% fatal crashes (USA)

Sliogeris (1992): 100km/h up to 110km/h 25% injury crashes (Victoria)

Sliogeris (1992): 110km/h to 100km/h 19% injury crashes (Victoria)

Nilsson (1990): 110km/h to 90km/h 21% fatal crashes (Sweden)

Scharping (1994): 60km/h to 50km/h 20% all crashes (Germany)

Bhatnagar (2010): 110km/h to 100km/h 26% casualty crashes (New South Wales)

• Note this is not assuming that everyone obeys the limits. If they did benefits would be greater.
Effects of Speed Limit Reductions in New Zealand

100kmh to 90kmh

Data: 5 year - before to after serious crash reductions
Net = compared with control locations with no change in speed limit
Source: Evaluation by Fergus Tate
Effects of Speed Limit Reductions in New Zealand

Source: Evaluation by Fergus Tate

100kmh to 80 or 90kmh

Data: 5 year - before to after serious crash reductions

Net = compared with control locations with no change in speed limit

Speed reductions in New Zealand deliver MORE powerful savings of deaths and serious injuries than the international data predict

Source for examples: Job & Brodie, 2022
New Zealand in particular (and elsewhere)

Lowering speeds consistently reduces serious crashes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Proven effects in New Zealand</th>
<th>Proven effects elsewhere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lower speed limits</td>
<td>Previous 3 slides &amp; many examples including on highways, at specific intersections, and in cities, most recently Auckland</td>
<td>Many examples, many countries- earlier slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More effective speed enforcement</td>
<td>Examples include covert speed cameras</td>
<td>Many examples, many countries- earlier slides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More effective penalties for speeding</td>
<td>Penalties are low in New Zealand- an important area of opportunity to save lives</td>
<td>Not regularly evaluated in Road Safety. Example successes: NSW Australia penalty change for P1 drivers (35% reduction in speed-related deaths)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed managing infrastructure</td>
<td>Examples include gateway treatments</td>
<td>Many examples, many countries- earlier slides</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Points:

1. Fixed cameras are very effective at specific locations - not enough in New Zealand

2. The VAST VAST VAST majority of speed is deliberate

EXAMPLE OF SPEED PROFILE AROUND A FIXED SPEED CAMERA IN AN 80 KM/H ZONE
Speeding by 5kmh in an urban area DOUBLES SERIOUS CRASH RISK
Low level speeding is the main problem, not extreme speeds

Can calculate the contributions of each level of speeding (not from crash data which miss many speeding crashes in New Zealand - as covered earlier)

Results for New Zealand
In 50 kmh zones:
• Speeding by up to just 5 kmh over the limit are contributing almost half (49%) of the speeding fatal crashes
• Speeding by 10 kmh or less in total are contributing 84% of the speeding fatal crashes
• The extreme speeding (those speeding by 25 kmh or more over the limit) are contributing 1% of the speeding fatal crashes

Focus on extreme speeders is convenient, but is only addressing 1% of the speeding problem.

(Source: Report to be published soon)
1. Aim to have drivers appreciate the vital role of speed in crash occurrence and severity, absolutely including in New Zealand
2. Strongly promote to learner drivers speed limit compliance & selecting lower speeds as conditions dictate
3. Explain that low level speeding is a large contributor to deaths in New Zealand (and how personal experience will not reveal this)
4. Explain the deliberate nature (and motivational nature) of speeding
Broad Topic 3: The misunderstanding of the value of higher speeds
Commonsense Misjudgment of trading off lives and injuries for speed (economic gain)- supported by transport companies ....

Trading off death and injury for speed (economy) is an Illusion, as well as ethically challenged – based on a singular focus on one cost

(Source: Hosseiniou et al., 2015)
b. Commonsense Misjudgment of trading off lives and injuries for speed

Speed has large impacts on multiple components of travel cost (Economically ideal speeds are well below typical speed limits. Example: In Iran, economically ideal motorway speed = 73km/h) (Source: Hosseiniou et al., 2015)
Economic Analysis for New Zealand
(By Max Cameron)

Shows that with all costs considered:

1. The economically optimum speeds for New Zealand are lower than the default speed limits, except close to right for Freeways only.
   On undivided (rural) roads, depending on quality: ideal
   = 55-70kmh for trucks
   = 65-85kmh for cars

2. Was undertaken some years ago
   Several key costs are under-estimated: esp. related to
   - climate related emissions
   - the health costs saved from facilitating active transport

So,
Current ideal speeds for New Zealand are almost certainly lower than these numbers
Commonsense Misjudgment of speed and congestion

![Graph showing the relationship between speed and traffic flow. The graph indicates a direct proportion between speed (km/hr) and traffic flow (veh/hr).]
Commonsense Misjudgment of speed and congestion
Real relationship between speed and traffic flow
(Source: OECD, 2006)
Relevance for Driver Education

1. Promote to learner drivers the absence of the supposed economic and congestion solving value of high speed
Broad Topic 4: Managing speed versus alternatives solutions
Underlying psychological errors

Error: Road safety (in respect of road users) is a skill and knowledge problem

Evidence: Road safety (in respect of road users) is a motivation problem
- Speeding
- Drink-driving
- Drug-driving
- Not wearing a safetybelt
- Not wearing a helmet
- Even fatigue driving (there is evidence on this)
Underlying psychological errors:
Misjudgment of personal risk (evidence)

Optimism bias & driver overconfidence

Source: Adapted from Job (1990). Studies show similar effects in New Zealand

Note: car handling skill training
There are two ‘alternative’ treatments—really treatments to be combined with speed management

Safe roads
Safe vehicles
(i.e., Safe System
Relevance for Driver Education

• Aim to have drivers appreciate the vital role of speed in crash occurrence and severity, including in New Zealand

• Identify the problems of Optimism Bias and Driver Overconfidence/ invulnerability.
  • Telling them they are vulnerable will not work
  • One approach shown to work to reduce these psychological errors seeing that almost everyone thinks the same. This work's well in a group setting- asking everyone to rate themselves at once compared with others of the same age and level of driving experience.
Take Home Messages & Recommendations

Additions to core education that will help road safety delivery in New Zealand, if understood by learner drivers:

• Understand the fundamental role of speed in crash occurrence and severity
• Understanding the lack of economic etc. value in higher speeds
• Increasing drivers understanding of, and support for, Safe System
• Understanding that road safety is a motivation problem
• Reducing optimism bias/driver overconfidence/personal invulnerability
I hope this has been helpful